

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/658,710	09/08/2003	Elad Alon	01-1205-A	2304	
. 20306 7.	590 04/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE			LUU, AN T		
32ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			2816		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	lls		
	10/658,710	ALON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	An T. Luu	2816			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and all the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a real. reply within the statutory minimum of thirt riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		ı			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	8 September 2003.				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) <u>52-72</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>52-72</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are 	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document. * See the attached detailed Office action for a second or a second of the priority document.	nents have been received. Idents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)	A) [] 1-4				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-31-04</u> .	[]	formal Patent Application (PTC)-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 52-72 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-19, 23, 31-33 and 36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,642,760 (hereinafter "760"). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of claims in the instant application are broader than that of "760" (i.e., limitations of each claim in the instant application is anticipated

Application/Control Number: 10/658,710 Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

by claims of "760". Therefore, claims 52-72 of the instant application read on claims 16-19, 23, 31-33 and 36 of "760".

Here is a listing of corresponding claims between the instant application and "760".

Application

"760"

Claim 52 reads on structure claim 16 and method claim 31.

Claim 53 reads on structure claim 16 and method claim 31.

Claim 54 reads on structure claim 17 and method claim 32.

Claim 55reads on structure claim 17 and method claim 33.

Claim 56 reads on method claim 32.

Claim 57 reads on structure claim 16.

Claim 58 reads on structure claim 23.

Claim 59 reads on structure claim 23.

Claim 60 reads on structure claim 16 and method claim 31.

Claim 61 reads on structure claim 17 and method claim 32.

Claim 62 reads on structure claim 17 and method claim 32.

Claim 63 reads on structure claim 17 and method claim 32.

Claim 64 reads on structure claim 17 and method claim 32.

Claim 65 reads on structure claim 23

Claim 66 reads on method claim 36.

Claim 67 reads on structure claim 23.

Claim 68 reads on structure claim 17 and method claim 32.

Claim 69 reads on structure claim 17 and method claim 32.

Claim 70 reads on structure claim 17 and method claim 32.

Claim 71 reads on structure claim 18.

Claim 72 reads on structure claim 19.

Art Unit: 2816

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An T. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

An T. Luu 3-31-04 Au

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800